frontiers

Author's Proof

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections in the appropriate place.

Annotate your corrections on-screen using the Adobe Reader PDF editing tools, save and upload as Author's Proof Corrections.

Alternatively, the corrections can be listed by referring to the specific line number in the proof and be directly communicated in the Discussion Forum of your article.

Make sure to also answer all the queries thoroughly before submitting your comments, as failing to do so will cause delays.

Do not make any corrections by submitting a new manuscript file.

To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections as soon as possible.

If you have any questions contact the Frontiers Production Office.

- Ensure to proofread the entire article, including figures and tables, captions, equations, citations, and references.
- Double-check the spelling of all author names, accuracy of affiliations and addresses.
- Verify that all the special characters are displayed correctly.
- Be sure that you have obtained permission for any reprinted material.
- Carefully reply to all of the author queries to avoid any production delays.

Query No.	Details required	Author's Reponse
Q1	We have moved the web links appearing inside the text as a footnote. Please confirm if this is fine.	
Q2	Please cite "Figure 2" inside the text.	
Q3	The citation for "Table 5" is appearing whereas the table for the same is missing. Please advice.	
Q4	Please explain "Figure 4C" inside the caption.	
Q5	Kindly confirm if "Cingolani et al., submitted." reference has been published now. If so kindly provide the details.	
Q6	Since the foot note is repeated we have not retained this foot- note as a separate footnote and hence cross referred as main footnote. Please confirm.	
Q7	We have included complete list of author names in the refer- ence list wherever "et al." has been used. Please confirm if this is fine.	
Q8	Please provide city name for "Parr, 2007."	
Q 9	Please provide volume number and page range for "Yang et al., 2011."	

Author Queries Form

frontiers in GENETICS



058

059

060

061

062

063

064

065

066

067

068

095

096

097

Using Drosophila melanogaster as a model for genotoxic chemical mutational studies with a new program, SnpSift

Pablo Cingolani^{1,2,3}, Viral M. Patel¹, Melissa Coon², Tung Nguyen⁴, Susan J. Land², Douglas M. Ruden^{1,2}* and Xiangyi Lu¹

¹ Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA 008

⁴ Department of Computer Sciences, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA

011

001

002

003

004

005

006

007

Edited by:

Michael Aschner, Vanderbilt University 014 Medical Center, USA 015

Reviewed by:

- 016 Michael Aschner, Vanderbilt University 017 Medical Center USA 018 Stephen Sturzenbaum, King's College 019 London, UK 020 *Correspondence:
- Douglas M. Ruden, Department of 021 Obstetrics and Gynecology, Wayne 022 State University School of Medicine, 023 C.S. Mott Center, Detroit, MI 48201, 024 USA e-mail: douglasr@wayne.edu 025 026 027 028 029 030 031 032 033 034 035 036
- 037 038
- 039

069 This paper describes a new program SnpSift for filtering differential DNA sequence variants 070 between two or more experimental genomes after genotoxic chemical exposure. Here, we 071 illustrate how SnpSift can be used to identify candidate phenotype-relevant variants includ-072 ing single nucleotide polymorphisms, multiple nucleotide polymorphisms, insertions, and 073 074 deletions (InDels) in mutant strains isolated from genome-wide chemical mutagenesis 075 of Drosophila melanogaster. First, the genomes of two independently isolated mutant 076 fly strains that are allelic for a novel recessive male-sterile locus generated by genotoxic 077 chemical exposure were sequenced using the Illumina next-generation DNA sequencer to 078 obtain 20- to 29-fold coverage of the euchromatic sequences. The sequencing reads were 079 processed and variants were called using standard bioinformatic tools. Next, SnpEff was 080 used to annotate all sequence variants and their potential mutational effects on associated 081 genes. Then, SnpSift was used to filter and select differential variants that potentially dis-082 rupt a common gene in the two allelic mutant strains. The potential causative DNA lesions 083 were partially validated by capillary sequencing of polymerase chain reaction-amplified DNA 084 in the genetic interval as defined by meiotic mapping and deletions that remove defined 085 regions of the chromosome. Of the five candidate genes located in the genetic interval, 086 the Pka-like gene CG12069 was found to carry a separate pre-mature stop codon muta-087 tion in each of the two allelic mutants whereas the other four candidate genes within the 088 interval have wild-type sequences. The Pka-like gene is therefore a strong candidate gene 089 for the male-sterile locus. These results demonstrate that combining SnpEff and SnpSift 090 can expedite the identification of candidate phenotype-causative mutations in chemically 091 mutagenized Drosophila strains. This technique can also be used to characterize the variety 092 of mutations generated by genotoxic chemicals. 093 094

Keywords: personal genomes, Drosophila melanogaster, whole-genome SNP analysis, next-generation DNA sequencing

040 INTRODUCTION 041

There are two types of chemicals that cause developmental abnor-042 mities in organisms - genotoxic chemicals and non-genotoxic 043 chemicals. Genotoxic chemicals directly alkylate or oxidize the 044 DNA and cause inappropriate base pairing. This causes perma-045 nent genetic mutations after exposing germline cells to geno-046 toxic chemicals. Non-genotoxic chemicals are thought to cause 047 epigenetic changes in the DNA that cause developmental abnor-048 malities. Most non-genotoxic chemicals only affect development 049 or the health of the organism exposed, but some non-genotoxic 050 chemicals such as the estrogenic chemical diethylstilbestrol (DES) 051 can cause developmental abnormalities and increased suscepti-052 bility to cancer for several generations (reviewed in Ruden et al., 053 2005). 054

Random mutagenesis such as chemical mutagenesis with the 055 genotoxic chemical ethyl methane sulfonate (EMS) is an incredibly 056 powerful tool for generating mutant strains of cells or organisms 057

for purposes of studying all types of biological processes. In 098 mutant bacteria or yeast, identification of the mutated genes is 099 often done by transforming wild-type DNA into the cells and 100 screening for rescue of the mutant phenotype. One could then 101 sequence the DNA that rescues the phenotype to find the gene 102 mutated. In Drosophila melanogaster, a causative DNA lesion for 103 an observable phenotype is traditionally done by meiotic mapping 104 of the mutant locus using a series of visible genetic markers that 105 span the chromosome (Anderson, 1992). Deficiencies that delete 106 defined regions of the chromosome, typically tens to hundreds 107 of kilobases long, can then be used to further refine the bound-108 aries of the mutated gene locus (Parks et al., 2004; Ryder et al., 109 2007). However, these positional cloning techniques are not only 110 labor-intensive and time consuming, but also without a guarantee 111 of success. This frequently leads to inevitable delays in molecular 112 and functional characterization of the gene involved, even in the 113 post genomic era. 114

² Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Wayne State University School of Medicine, C.S. Mott Center, Detroit, MI, USA 009

³ School of Computer Science and Genome Quebec Innovation Centre, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

With the development of next-generation DNA sequencing 115 116 instruments, whole-genome sequencing is becoming feasible to 117 replace labor-intensive positional cloning methods. However, we are limited by the capacity of the current bioinformatic programs 118 119 to rapidly and reliably process sequence variants including single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), multiple nucleotide polymor-120 121 phisms (MNPs), insertions, and deletions (InDels) between the wild-type control and the mutant genomes. This is especially the 122 case in dealing with mutant strains isolated from random chem-123 ical mutagenesis that typically introduces quite large numbers of 124 background sequence variants and SNPs into the mutant genome, 125 only one of which is likely responsible for the mutant phenotype. 126

Furthermore, all current next-generation sequencers produce 127 frequent errors, especially when approaching the 3'-ends of each 128 short read. Using current technologies, a short read is typically 129 70-150 bp long. As the euchromatic genome of D. melanogaster 130 is 117 million base pairs (Mbp), machine-generated errors by 131 themselves are sufficient to produce thousands of false SNPs in 132 133 whole-genome sequencing data. To expedite the analyses of wholegenome sequencing data and to reduce number of false positives, 134 we have developed the programs SnpEff (Pablo Cingolani and 135 136 Douglas M. Ruden; submitted to Fly for publication; Platts et al., 2009) and SnpSift. These programs can categorize and filter thou-137 138 sands of variants per second, based on their locations in the transcriptional unit and potential mutational effects on transcrip-139 tion or translation. By comparing several sequencing experiments, 140 the number of false positives can be reduced. 141

Whole-genome sequencing to identify a causative SNP has not
been established for *D. melanogaster* mutants (Hillier et al., 2008;
Wang et al., 2010). Here, we describe how SnpEff¹ and SnpSift²
can be used together to identify causative gene candidate using just
two alleles of a male-sterile *Drosophila* locus. Both programs have
web based interfaces available via the Galaxy project³.

149 **RESULTS**

150 WHOLE-GENOME SEQUENCING OF MALE-STERILE MUTANTS

151 X1 AND X2

Two allelic male-sterile mutations, X1 and X2, were identified 152 153 in a F₃ genetic screen (Yang et al., 2011). Briefly, males isogenic for the third chromosome were fed the chemical mutagen ethyl 154 methane sulfonate (EMS) for 12 h (10 mM in 1% sucrose solu-155 tion; Ruden et al., 1997) and then mated with virgin females of 156 the genotype w¹¹¹⁸; TM2/TM6,Sb. Approximately 10,000 of the F₁ 157 males (w¹¹¹⁸; */TM2 or w¹¹¹⁸: */TM6, Sb; * represents the mutag-158 enized third chromosome) were then mated individually to w^{1118} ; 159 TM2/TM6,Sb virgin females to generate ~6,000 lines, each car-160 rving a mutagenized third chromosome. From the F₃ flies, males 161 homozygous for the mutagenized chromosome (*/*) were tested 162 for low fertility by crossing to virgin females from a wild-type 163 stock $(y^{l}w^{l})$. From this genetic screen, approximately 50 lines were 164 saved that have low male fertility. They were placed into comple-165 mentation groups by crossing to each other in \sim 1,275 crosses (i.e., 166 1,275 = N(N+1)/2, where N = 50). The characterization of two 167

alleles of the same complementation group that we call X1 and172X2 are presented. Details of the other male-sterile mutations iso-173lated in the screen and phenotypic analyses of X1 and X2 will be174presented elsewhere.175

Males homozygous for X1 and X2 were sequenced (see Materi-176 als and Methods), producing over 90 million combined sequencing 177 reads (\sim 76 bp per read), \sim 10% of which are of insufficient quality 178 and discarded. The remaining sequence reads represent approxi-179 mately 20- to 29-fold coverage of the euchromatic DNA (Figure 1). 180 These unique sequence reads were aligned to the reference genome 181 $(y^1; cn^1 bw^1 sp^1$ strain, dm5.30), variant calls were performed, and 182 204,250 homozygous SNPs were found. There were also 97,574 183 heterozygous SNPs, but they were not analyzed further because the 184 sequenced genomic DNA samples were purified from the X1/X1 185 and X2/X2 homozygous flies. We found that greater than 99.99% 186 of the homozygous SNPs were identical for X1 and X2 and these 187 have to be common background variants because X1 and X2 were 188 derived from the same parental strain. The remaining SNPs differ 189 between X1 and X2 and they are associated with 141 genes, which 190 were examined further (Figure 3, see below). 191

FINDING PHENOTYPE-CAUSATIVE CANDIDATE SNPs IN X1 AND X2

192

193

Figure 3 shows a flowchart of how the causative SNPs in X1 and 194 X2 were identified. In order to identify the phenotype-causative 195 candidate SNPs, we first assumed that they change an amino acid, 196 splice site, reading frame, start or stop codon since these types of 197 SNPs potentially alter the activity of the protein produced (we call 198 these class 1 SNPs). Other types of SNPs such as intronic, inter-199 genic, 5'UTR, 3'UTR, upstream, and downstream are less likely to 200 affect gene function and they are considered secondarily only if no 201 candidate genes could be identified from the first category of SNPs 202 (we call these class 2 SNPs). Second, we considered the differential 203 SNPs that are unique to either X1 or X2, but not common for 204 X1 and X2 (Figure 3A). The way that the male-sterile screen was 205 conducted ensured that X1 and X2 carried independently mutag-206 enized chromosomes, so it is very unlikely that they have identical 207 phenotype-causative SNPs (see Materials and Methods). Out of 208 the 16,921 class I SNPs in X1 and X2, we found that 558 SNPs 209 are uniquely present in X1 and 447 SNPs are uniquely present in 210



¹⁶⁸

¹⁶⁹ ¹snpeff.sourceforge.net

¹⁷⁰ ²snpeff.sourceforge.net/SnpSift.html

^{171 &}lt;sup>3</sup>www.galaxy.psu.edu

X2 (Figure 3A). For this analysis, thresholds above a certain level, such as 70, were not used because we did not want to eliminate a candidate SNP because it fell below an arbitrary threshold. For Figure 1, for illustrative purposes, we used a threshold score of 70, based on the quality score distribution for this sequencing run (McCarthy, 2010). Quality score, is defined by SAMtools as the probability of error in decibels, that is $q = -10 \log(p)$, where p is the error probability and the logarithm is in base 10. Typically range for quality scores is from 1 to 100 with the higher score hav-ing a greater probability of being a real SNP and, therefore, not a sequencing artifact (McCarthy, 2010).

Next, we analyzed only the class 1 SNPs on the chromosome 3 since the X1 and X2 mutant strains were generated by using the third chromosome balancer (**Figure 3B**). As a general exercise, we did not begin our analysis by focusing on the third chromosome



alone because this may not be applicable to other experimental settings. Considering just the third chromosome, there are 81 class 1 SNPs associating with 81 genes in X1, and 68 class 1 SNPs in 68 genes in X2. Of most interest are the eight genes that are commonly affected in both X1 and X2; i.e., the SNPs differ, but these SNPs associate with the same eight genes. Since the male-sterile pheno-types of X1 and X2 are presumably caused by two different SNPs affecting the same gene, we focused on these eight genes, which are Ank2, Hsromega, CG12069, prc, CG13826, Muc68Ca, Rgl, and sls (Figure 3C; Table 1). However, CG12069 has SNPs with scores of 102 in X1 and 66 in X2 (Table 1). The score of 66 can be consid-ered significant and it is substantially higher than the scores for the other seven candidate genes which have scores ranging from 1 to 36 with the majority having scores less than 5 (Table 1). CG12069 was named as Pka-like in the Flybase because it encodes a protein with 51% amino acid identity to the adjacent Pka-C2 which encodes a cAMP-dependent protein kinase A catalytic subunit (Figure 4A).

VALIDATING X1 AND X2 AS NONSENSE ALLELES OF CG12069

Further analysis of the two SNPs in CG12069 of X1 and X2 indicated that both of them are nonsense mutations causing pre-mature translational termination at different amino acid residues of the Pka-like protein. X1 contains a TGG/TGA SNP that con-verts the tryptophan (W) residue 308 to a stop codon whereas X2 contains a CAG/TAG SNP that converts the glutamine (Q) residue 9 to a stop codon (Figure 4B). X1 will make the first 308 out of 356 amino acids of Pka-like. However, the Pka-like function is likely diminished because the conserved region of Pka-like with Drosophila virilis extends beyond amino acid 308. Also, the con-served ATP-binding domain of Pka-like extends beyond amino acid 308 (Figure 4C). X2 will only make the first eight amino acids of Pka-like, but there is another in-frame ATG codon at amino acid 10 that, if it supports translation initiation, would make a functional protein. However, there is a poor match to the Kozak consensus sequence, 5'-ACC-ATG-G-3', flanking the downstream ATG site, 5'-CAG-ATG-C-3'. Since a good match to the Kozak sequence is generally required for efficient translation,



(A) SnpEeff identified 16,921 "class 1" SNPs (see text) with a quality score > 1 in both X1 and X2 (zero quality scores are usually resulted from reads mapping to multiple genomic regions). There are 558 SNPs that are only present in X1 and 447 SNPs that are only present in X2. (B) Since we know that X1 and X2 are on chromosome 3, we focused on the 141 strong SNPs on chromosome 3 that are present in X1 or X2 but not both. There are only eight

genes that are commonly affected by unique SNPs in both X1 and X2 (note that the eight genes have at least two SNPs at different bases). (C) List of the eight genes with SNPs in both X1 and X2. See Table 5 for more details. (D) Only one gene, *CG12069/Pka*-like, contained SNPs with scores > 60. These SNPs were validated by capillary sequencing of PCR-amplified DNA from the genetic interval of the male-sterile locus as defined by meiotic and deletion mapping data (see text). ca.

Q2

412

355

Table 1 | Gene candidates for X1 and X2. 343

Gene Name	X1 SNPs	Score	X2 SNPs	Score
	45	AU E	14	
ANKZ	15	All < 5	14	All < 5
Isromega	4	All < 5	4	All < 5
CG12069 (Pka-like)	1	102 (W308/*)	1	66 (Q9/*)
Drc	2	1, 10	2	2, 21
CG13826	1	36 (I70/F)	1	30 (I70/L)
Nuc68Ca	1	1	1	2
Rgl	1	30 (N8/T)	1	33 (N8/S)
sls	1	1	1	1

X1 SNPs and X2 SNPs, the number of SNPs in the indicated gene in X1 and X2. Score, the SNP quality score produced by the alignment and variant call software (e.g., SamTools and BcfTools)



(Kozak, 1987) it is possible that the downstream ATG is not
used for translation. We note that the correct translation start
sequence, 5'-GCA-ATG-C-3', has a slightly better match to the
Kozak sequence.

461 Since the male-sterile phenotypes of X1 and X2 homozygotes are nearly as strong as that of the males of the mutation 462 463 over Df(3R)Exel7378 that deletes CG12069, it is likely that the pre-mature stop codon mutations in CG12069 are the causative 464 loss-of-function mutations. To confirm this, we crossed X1 or X2 465 with chromosomal deletions that overlap with Df(3R)Exel7378. 466 We found that the male-sterile phenotypes of X1 and X2 467 failed to complement Df(3R)Exel7378 (3R:26388946;26620677), 468 but complemented Df(3R)BSC504 (3R:26253789;26512985) and 469 Df(3R)Exel8194 (3R:26582117;26713967). These localize the 470 genetic boundary of X1 and X2 to a 69,132-bp of DNA inter-471 val from 26,512,985 to 26,582,117⁴. The \sim 69 kb of DNA encodes 472 10 annotated genes, of which five are highly expressed in the 473 testis, including CG12069. No SNPs were found in the remaining 474 475 four candidate genes expressed in the testes (CG12066, CG31010, 476 CG1340, CG15543), suggesting that CG12069 is a strong candidate gene for the sperm storage defects of X1 and X2. 477

478 To further confirm the SNPs identified by SnpEff and SnpSift, genomic DNA samples were isolated from X1 and X2 homozy-479 480 gous mutant males and regions containing exons were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), cloned into pGEMT (Promega), 481 and sequenced by capillary DNA sequencing (Applied Biosystems, 482 Inc.). Sequencing confirmed the presence of stop codon SNPs in 483 CG12069 in both X1 and X2 at the expected locations. Thus, we 484 conclude that the male-sterile alleles of X1 and X2 probably con-485 tain mutations in the CG12069 gene. Complete validation will 486 require a CG12069 rescue transgene that is expressed in the male 487 testes. However, phenotypic rescue of the male-sterile and sperm 488 motility phenotypes of X1 and X2 is beyond the scope of this paper 489 and will be presented elsewhere. 490

492 DISCUSSION

491

493 In this paper, we show that SnpEff and SnpSift can be used to iden-494 tify causative SNPs in EMS-generated alleles of a new male-sterile 495 mutant locus that we isolated from random chemical mutagen-496 esis screens. We performed whole-genome shotgun sequencing 497 of the two non-complementing alleles, X1 and X2, and showed 498 that only a single gene, CG12069/Pka-like, was affected by SNPs at 499 two different places, generating two different truncated proteins. 500 The SNPs were confirmed by PCR amplification and capillary 501 sequencing and further genetic mapping of the mutant locus using 502 overlapping chromosomal deletions. From these, we conclude that 503 a single lane of next-generation sequencing on the GAIIx instru-504 ment is probably sufficient for identifying homozygous causative 505 SNP candidates in Drosophila. It should be emphasized that, in 506 this case, we sequenced the DNA from homozygous flies. We were 507 also able to use this technique to identify heterozygous SNPs iso-508 lated in a separate genetic screen (data not shown; Ruden et al., 509 1999). It was lucky that X1 and X2 were both nonsense mutations 510 that designate strong SNPs and these occurred at two different

513 ⁴flystocks.bio.indiana.edu

codon positions in the same gene. Nevertheless, SnpEff and SnpSift514can also analyze weak SNPs such as those located in the 5' UTR515or promoter regions and it should be possible to use a similar516strategy to identify mutations that contain SNPs at regulatory517regions of the genes, such as in many examples of population518studies.519

Recently, the Bellen laboratory developed rapid meiotic map-520 ping techniques to map a recessive-lethal mutation to within a few 521 kilobases to transposons containing easily visualized marker genes 522 such as mini- w^+ or v^+ (Zhai et al., 2003). Meiotic mapping can 523 be used to further delimit the regions of the genome and facilitate 524 identification of candidate genes by whole-genome sequencing 525 approach. We know of at least one other laboratory that has used 526 next-generation sequencing to identify chemically induced muta-527 tions in Drosophila, but this was done with PCR-amplified DNA 528 fragment from the \sim 1-Mbp region of interest (Wang et al., 2010). 529 Deficiencies, such as in the Exelixis and DrosDel collections that 530 have known breakpoints, (Parks et al., 2004; Ryder et al., 2007) can 531 be used to fine map the mutant locus further, often to a region 532 small enough to PCR amplify and sequence with conventional 533 capillary sequencing techniques. 534

Although we sequenced homozygous DNA, it is conceivable 535 that larger fold of sequence coverage should overcome com-536 plication of data resulting from sequencing heterozygous DNA 537 when the mutation is lethal. Langley et al. (2011) have recently 538 shown that one can "circumvent heterozygosity" by sequencing 539 the genome of a single haploid D. melanogaster embryo. The 540 haploid embryo is gynogenetically produced by mating females 541 with males homozygous for the recessive male-sterile mutation 542 ms(3)K81, which jumps start embryogenesis without incorporat-543 ing the sperm DNA in the developing embryo (Langley et al., 544 2011). Another alternative method to circumvent heterozygosity 545 for recessive-lethal mutations is to use "green balancers" that carry, 546 for example, Kr-Gal4 driving GFP expression in the embryo and 547 thus allowing the enrichment of homozygous mutant embryos 548 prior DNA sequencing (Casso et al., 1999, 2000). The Bloomington stock center has green balancer stocks for the X chromosome 550 (FM7), the second chromosome (CyO), and the third chromo-551 some $(TM3,Sb^5)$. When a recessive-lethal allele is balanced with a green balancer, one needs only to select for non-GFP express-553 ing embryos to ensure that the flies are homozygous in genotypes 554 (Casso et al., 1999, 2000).

In summary, we describe a new tool, SnpSift that can be used to help identify causative SNPs in mutants derived from random chemical mutagenesis screens. This tool, along with SnpEff, has currently set to analyze and identify SNPs associated with phenotypes of not only *Drosophila* mutant strains but also other organisms including humans.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

PREPARING GENOMIC DNA LIBRARY FOR PAIRED-END SEQUENCING

Drosophila genomic DNA from the strains X1 and X2 was prepared using an AutoPure LS (Qiagen) Kit. A genomic DNA library was prepared from 5 µg purified *Drosophila* DNA according to

567

568

569

⁵¹¹ 512

⁵www.flybase.org

the standard protocol using a Paired-End Sample Prep Kit for the 571 572 GAIIx (Illumina). The DNA library was then used for cluster gen-573 eration and sequencing analysis using the Genome Analyzer IIx using Illumina standard protocols. Methods for DNA manipula-574 575 tion, including sample preparation, formation of single-molecule arrays, cluster growth, and sequencing were all done by the stan-576 577 dard protocols from Illumina, Inc. All sequencing was performed using two lanes (one for X1 and one for X2) in paired-end sequenc-578 ing mode on an Illumina Genome Analyzer version 2 (GA2X) that 579 was equipped with a 1-megapixel camera. The Illumina sequenc-580 ing kits used allowed for 76 base single-end reads. Each lane of 581 DNA sequencing had over 90 million reads. 582

583

584 Analysis software

Image analysis software was provided as part of the Genome 585 Analyzer analysis pipeline and configured for fully automatic para-586 meter selection. Single-end reads were 76 bases in total length. 587 Quality control was performed using FastQC, showing overall low 588 error rates. The reference genome used was the latest FlyBase ver-589 sion at the time (v^1 ; $cn^1 bw^1 sp^1$ strain, Dm5.30). The data was 590 aligned using the BWA algorithm (Li and Durbin, 2009). A total of 591 592 5,234,506 reads were NOT mapped to the genome (i.e., 10.01%). This is usually due to low quality reads or reads have missing base 593 calling information (i.e., "B" in the quality stream). The rest of 594 the reads for X1 and X2 were mapped as indicated. Gap estima-595 tion: according to the mapping software, the gap between pair-end 596 reads is 360 ± 20 bp. The distribution percentiles are 345 (25%), 597 360 (50%), and 375 (75%). The set of⁶ and to the NCBI's map of 598 RefSeq and candidate Drosophila genes⁷. 599

Reads were filtered using a minimum mapping quality of 20 600 (MAPQ). Variant calling was performed using SamTools (Li et al., 601 602 2009) and BcfTools. When using individual calls without base alignment quality (BAQ) model, (Li, 2011) a total of 1,036,435 603 homozygous SNPs were detected. Using multi-sample calling 604 methods and BAQ model, (Li, 2011) the number of homozygous 605 606 SNPs was reduced to 204,250. Variant annotation and filtering was 607 performed using the software SnpEff (Cingolani et al., submitted to Fly) and SnpSift, described below. 608

SnpSift

05

609

610

Variant filtering was performed using an in-house development
tool set called SnpSift⁸. This tool set works almost exclusively on
variant call format (VCF) files according to the specification for
versions 4 or 4.1 (Danecek et al., 2011). The two main components
used in this work were "SnpSift caseControl" and "SnpSift filter."
Frequently asked questions (FAQs) are addressed on our web site.

618 SnpSift caseControl

This tool counts the number of genotypes present in two userdefined groups ("case" and "control"), and then it calculates a *p*-value based on Fisher exact test. For each group, either homozygous, heterozygous, or both kinds of variants can be used.

626 md.gz 627 ⁸SnpEff.sourceforge.net/SnpSift.html SnpSift filter

This module performs filtering based on arbitrary expressions. 629 In order to be able to parse arbitrary expressions, we created a 630 top-down recursive grammar [also known as LL(*) grammar] 631 using ANTLR (Parr, 2007). Using the lexer and parser created 632 by ANTLR we are able to parse expressions by creating an abstract 633 syntax tree (AST) for the expression. An AST is a well-known 634 structure, very common in compiler design, that is used to rep-635 resent the arbitrary input expressions from the user. The AST 636 tree is converted into an interpreter syntax tree (IST), which is 637 a tree composed of objects capable of interpreting conditions, 638 expressions, and functions. This means that the IST is like AST, 639 but it is also capable of performing expression evaluation. The 640 result of the filter expression is the value of the root node in the 641 IST. 642

There are well-known variables pre-defined according to the 643 VCF format specification. Other additional variables and their 644 respective data types are parsed from VCF meta-information in 645 the file header. As specified in the norm, INFO meta-information 646 lines define the type and the number of values (e.g., an array) 647 in each INFO sub-field. Automatic variable conversion is imple-648 mented (e.g., INT is automatically converted to FLOAT whenever 649 required). Genotype fields are similarly parsed by using FORMAT 650 meta-information header lines. 651

Each VCF entry (i.e., each non-header line in a VCF file) is 652 converted into a set of "variable = value" tuples, which are feed 653 into the interpreter tree. The IST, created using the user expres-654 sion, interprets the user-defined expression from top to bottom 655 trying to assign a Boolean value to the root node. If the result 656 from evaluating the IST is "true" then the VCF line is either 657 printed to standard output or marked as PASS in the FILTER 658 field; likewise, if it is "false," the line is filtered out (i.e., not 659 printed) or marked as failed in the FILTER field. Table A1 in 660 Appendix shows a list of allowed operators used in SnpSift and 661 Table A2 in Appendix shows some functions commonly used in 662 SnpSift expressions. Language definition and examples are shown 663 in Appendix. 664

SnpSift is platform independent and available as an open source as part of the SnpEff project⁹. A web based interface is available via the Galaxy project (see text foot note 1).

DATA ACCESS

SnpEff and SnpSift Data can be accessed from the data file for X1 and X2 by contacting Douglas M. Ruden.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a Michigan Core Technology grant 674 from the State of Michigan's 21st Century Fund Program to the 675 Wayne State University Applied Genomics Technology Center. 676 This work was also supported by the Environmental Health Sci-677 ences Center in Molecular and Cellular Toxicology with Human 678 Applications Grant P30 ES06639 at Wayne State University, NIH 679 R01 grants (ES012933) to Douglas M. Ruden, and DK071073 to 680 Xiangyi Lu. 681

665

666

667 Q6

668

669

670

671

672

673

682

683

⁶²³ 624

⁶ftp://ftp.flybase.net/genomes/dmel/dmel_r5.12_FB2008_09/gff/

⁶²⁵ ⁷ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/genomes/Drosophila_melanogaster/mapview/seq_gene.

⁹SnpEff.sourceforge.net/SnpSift.html

REFERENCES 685

- Anderson, K. (1992). The Making of 686 a fly - the genetics of animal 687 design - Lawrence, Pa. Science 256, 688 1053-1054.
- 689 Casso, D., Ramirez-Weber, F., and Kornberg, T. B. (2000). GFP-690 tagged balancer chromosomes for 691 Drosophila melanogaster. Mech. Dev. 692 91, 451-454.
- 693 Casso, D., Ramirez-Weber, F. A., and 694 Kornberg, T. B. (1999). GFPtagged balancer chromosomes for 695 Drosophila melanogaster. Mech. Dev. 696 88, 229-232 697
- Danecek, P., Auton, A., Abecasis, G., 698 Albers, C. A., Banks, E., DePristo, 699 M A Handsaker R E Lunter G Marth, G. T., Sherry, S. T., McVean, 700 G., Durbin, R., and 1000 Genomes 701 Project Analysis Group, (2011), The 702 variant call format and VCFtools. 703 Bioinformatics 27, 2156-2158.
- Hillier, L. W., Marth, G. T., Ouinlan, A. 704 R., Dooling, D., Fewell, G., Barnett, 705 D., Fox, P., Glasscock, J. I., Hicken-706 botham, M., Huang, W., Magrini, V. 707 J., Richt, R. J., Sander, S. N., Stew-708 art, D. A., Stromberg, M., Tsung, E. F., Wylie, T., Schedl, T., Wilson, R. 709 K., and Mardis, E. R. (2008). Whole-710 genome sequencing and variant dis-711 covery in C. elegans. Nat. Methods 5, 712 183-188
- 713 Kozak, M. (1987). An analysis of 5'-714 noncoding sequences from 699 ver-
- tebrate messenger RNAs. Nucleic Q8 715 Acids Res. 15, 8125-8148. 716
- Langley, C. H., Crepeau, M., Car-717 deno, C., Corbett-Detig, R., and 718 Stevens, K. (2011). Circumventing heterozygosity: sequencing the 719 amplified genome of a single haploid 720 Drosophila melanogaster embryo. 721 Genetics 188, 239-246.
- 722 Li, H. (2011). Improving SNP discovery 723 by base alignment quality. Bioinformatics 27, 1157-1158. 724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

- Li, H., and Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read align-Burrows-Wheeler ment with transform. Bioinformatics 25. 1754-1760.
- Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, I., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis, G., Durbin, R., and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. (2009). The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078.
- McCarthy, A. (2010). Third generation DNA sequencing: pacific biosciences' single molecule real time technology. Chem. Biol. 17, 675-676.
- Parks, A. L., Cook, K. R., Belvin, M., Dompe, N. A., Fawcett, R., Huppert, K., Tan, L. R., Winter, C. G., Bogart, K. P., Deal, I. E., Deal-Herr, M. E., Grant, D., Marcinko, M., Miyazaki, W. Y., Robertson, S., Shaw, K. J., Tabios, M., Vysotskaja, V., Zhao, L., Andrade, R. S., Edgar, K. A., Howie, E., Killpack, K., Milash, B., Norton, A., Thao, D., Whittaker, K., Winner, M. A., Friedman, L., Margolis, J., Singer, M. A., Kopczynski, C., Curtis, D., Kaufman, T. C., Plowman, G. D., Duyk, G., and Francis-Lang, H. L. (2004). Systematic generation of high-resolution deletion coverage of the Drosophila melanogaster genome, Nat. Genet. 36, 288-292.
- Parr, T. (2007). The Definitive ANTLR Reference: Building Domain-Specific Languages. Pragmatic Bookshelf.
- Platts, A. E., Land, S. J., Chen, L., Page, G. P., Rasouli, P., Wang, L., Lu, X., and Ruden, D. M. (2009). Massively parallel resequencing of the isogenic Drosophila melanogaster strain w(1118); iso-2; iso-3 identifies hotspots for mutations in sensory perception genes. Fly (Austin) 3, 192-203.

- Ruden, D. M., Cui, W., Sollars, V., and Alterman, M. (1997). A Drosophila kinesin-like protein, Klp38B, functions during meiosis, mitosis, and segmentation. Dev. Biol. 191, 284-296.
- Ruden, D. M., Wang, X., Cui, W., Mori, D., and Alterman, M. (1999). A novel follicle-celldependent dominant female sterile allele, StarKojak, alters receptor tyrosine kinase signaling in Drosophila, Dev. Biol. 207. 393-407
- Ruden, D. M., Xiao, L., Garfinkel, M. D., and Lu, X. (2005). Hsp90 and environmental impacts on epigenetic states: a model for the transgenerational effects of diethylstibesterol on uterine development and cancer. Hum. Mol. Genet. 14, R149-R155.
- Ryder, E., Ashburner, M., Bautista-Llacer, R., Drummond, I., Webster, J., Johnson, G., Morley, T., Chan, Y. S., Blows, F., Coulson, D., Reuter, G., Baisch, H., Apelt, C., Kauk, A., Rudolph, T., Kube, M., Klimm, M., Nickel, C., Szidonya, J., Maróy, P., Pal, M., Rasmuson-Lestander, A., Ekström, K., Stocker, H., Hugentobler, C., Hafen, E., Gubb, D., Pflugfelder, G., Dorner, C., Mechler, B., Schenkel, H., Marhold, J., Serras, F., Corominas, M., Punset, A., Roote, J., and Russell, S. (2007). The DrosDel deletion collection: a Drosophila genomewide chromosomal deficiency resource. Genetics 177, 615-629.
- Wang, H., Chattopadhyay, A., Li, Z., Daines, B., Li, Y., Gao, C., Gibbs, R., Zhang, K., and Chen, R. (2010). Rapid identification of heterozygous mutations in Drosophila melanogaster using genomic capture sequencing. Genome Res. 20, 981-988.

Yang, Y., Cochran, D. A., Gargano, M. D., King, I., Samhat, N. K., Burger, B. P., Sabourin, K. R., Hou, Y., Awata, J., Parry, D. A., Marshall, W. F., Witman, G. B., and Lu, X. (2011). Regulation of flagellar motility by the conserved flagellar protein CG34110/Ccdc135/FAP50. Mol. Biol. Cell.

742 Q9

743

744

745

746

747

748

757

758

759

760

761

762

749 Zhai, R. G., Hiesinger, P. R., Koh, T. 750 W., Verstreken, P., Schulze, K. L., 751 Cao, Y., Jafar-Nejad, H., Norga, K. K., Pan, H., Bayat, V., Greenbaum, M. 752 P., and Bellen, H. J. (2003). Mapping 753 Drosophila mutations with molecu-754 larly defined P element insertions. 755 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 100, 10860-10865 756

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

763 Received: 20 February 2012; accepted: 764 24 February 2012; published online: xx 765 March 2012. Citation: Cingolani P, Patel VM, Coon 766 M, Nguyen T, Land SJ, Ruden DM 767 and Lu X (2012) Using Drosophila 768 melanogaster as a model for genotoxic 769 chemical mutational studies with a new 770 program, SnpSift, Front, Gene, 3:35, doi: 10.3389/fgene.2012.00035 771 This article was submitted to Frontiers in 772 Toxicogenomics, a specialty of Frontiers 773 in Genetics. 774 Copyright © 2012 Cingolani, Patel, 775 Coon, Nguyen, Land, Ruden and Lu. This is an open-access article distributed 776 under the terms of the Creative Commons 777 Attribution Non Commercial License, 778 which permits non-commercial use, dis-779 tribution, and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and 780 source are credited.

793

794

795

796

797

798

www.frontiersin.org

856

857

858

859

860

861

862

863

864

865

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

879

880

881

882

883

884

885

886

887

888

889

890

891 892

799 APPENDIX

800 SnpSIFT FILTER: LANGUAGE DEFINITION

This section shows the language definition for SnpSift filter. Operators (see **Table A1**) and functions (see **Table A2**) can be used to create arbitrary expressions that are evaluated using the information in each VCF line.

806 SnpSIFT FILTER: LANGUAGE DEFINITION AND USAGE EXAMPLES

Using the SnpSift filter, arbitrary expressions can be evaluated.
Since an arbitrary number of conditions can be combined using
Boolean operators, the expressions can be complex, allowing
significant flexibility.

811 Some examples:

812 1-) Filter out variants with quality less than 30:

Table A1 | On ensteins allowed in Con Ciff filter

cat variants.vcf | java -jar SnpSift.jar " (QUAL >= 30)" >
filtered.vcf

2-)Filter out variants with quality less than 30 but keep InDels that
have quality 20 or more:

cat variants.vcf | java -jar SnpSift.jar "((exists INDEL) &
(QUAL >= 20)) | (QUAL >= 30)" > filtered.vcf

3-)Same as example 2, but keeping also any homozygous variant
present in more than 3 samples:

821	
822	

840 841 842

843

805

Operand	Description	Data type	Example
=	Equality test	FLOAT, INT or STRING	(REF = 'A')
>	Greater than	FLOAT or INT	(DP > 20)
≥	Greater or equal than	FLOAT or INT	(DP ≥ 20)
<	Less than	FLOAT or INT	(DP < 20)
≤	Less or equal than	FLOAT or INT	(DP ≤ 20)
=~	Match regular expression	STRING	$(REL = \sim 'AC')$
!~	Does not match regular expression	STRING	(REL!~'AC')
&	AND operator	Boolean	(DP > 20) & (REF = 'A')
	OR operator	Boolean	(DP > 20) (REF = 'A')
!	NOT operator	Boolean	! (DP > 20)
exists	The variable exists (not missing)	Any	(exists INDEL)

Table A2 | Functions implemented in SnpSift filter.

Function	Description	Data type	Example
countHom	Count number of	No	(countHom()
	homozygous genotypes	arguments	> 0)
countHet	Count number of	No	(countHet()
	heterozygous genotypes	arguments	> 2)
countVarian	Count number of	No	(countVariants
	genotypes that are variants	arguments	() > 5)
	(i.e., not reference 0/0)		
countRef	Count number of	No	(countRef()
	genotypes that are NOT	arguments	< 1)
	variants (i.e., reference 0/0)		

cat variants.vcf | java -jar SnpSift.jar "(countHom > 3) | ((exists INDEL) & (QUAL >= 20)) | (QUAL >= 30)" > filtered.vcf

4-)Same as example 3, but keeping also heterozygous variants with coverage 25 or more:

cat variants.vcf | java -jar SnpSift.jar "((countHet > 0) && (DP >= 25)) | (countHom > 3) | ((exists INDEL) & (QUAL >= 20)) | (QUAL >= 30)" > filtered.vcf

SNPSIFT FILTER: VARIABLES

For each VCF entry, the variables are populated and made available in the analyzed expressions. The values used to populate the variables are obtained from different fields of the VCF entry. There are four main groups of variables:

- Fields: these are mandatory valued from the VCF specification and are the first columns in a VCF file ("CHROM, POS, ID, REF, ALT, QUAL, or FILTER").
- INFO field: each value defined in the info field is made available using the type specified according to the VCF meta-information lines in the header section. Some "well-known" variables are predefined and do not need corresponding header entries (see VCF specification for a list of well-known INFO fields).
- Genotype fields: each genotype field is available using the GEN[] array. Subfields of this array include all variables in each genotype field. Types are casted according to the VCF meta-information lines in the header section.
- Effect fields: the "EFF" sub-field from the INFO field (created by SnpEff program) is further parsed and made available. This is parsed as an array since one variant can be annotated with more than one effect.
- Sets: expressions can test if a value belongs to a set. Sets are defined in files having one value per line. This files are parsed when using the "-set" command line option. Values from sets can be used in expressions by using the "in" operator.

Fields

110103	
Available variable names are: "CHROM, POS, ID, REF, ALT, QUAL,	893
or FILTER."	894
Examples:	895
1-) Any variant in chromosome 1:	896
"(CHROM = 'chr1')"	897
2-) Variants between two positions:	898
(DOC > 1224EC) = (DOC < (E4221)	899
$(POS > 123456) \otimes (POS < 654321)$	900
3-) Variants having an ID and it matches the regular expression	901
"rs":	902
"(exists ID) & (ID = 'rs')"	903
4-) Variants having reference "A":	904
"(REF = 'A')"	905
5-) Variants having an alternative "T":	906
"(ALT = 'T')"	907
6-) Variants having quality over 30:	908
"(OUAL > 30)"	909
(QOAL > 50)	910
6-) Variants having Filter value is either "PASS" or it is missing:	911
"(na FILTER) (FILTER = 'PASS')"	912

913 INFO field

917

927

928

935

945

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968 969

Variable names from INFO field. E.g., if the info field has"DP=48;AF1=0;..."e.g.,:

916 (DP > 10) & (AF1 = 0)

918 Multiple value

Info field variables can have multiple values (comma separated).
These multiple valued fields are represented as an array. Individual
values can be accessed using an index. E.g., If the INFO field has
"CI95=0.04167,0.5417," then the following expression is valid:

923 "(CI95[0] > 0.1) & (CI95[1] <= 0.3)"

An asterisk may be used to represent "ANY" variable index. So the
following example is "true" if any of the values in the CI95 field is
more than 0.1:

"(CI95[*] > 0.1)"

929 Genotype fields

Variables from genotype fields are represented as an array. The
individual values are accessed using an index (sample number)
followed by a variable name. E.g., If the genotypes are "GT:PL:GQ
1/1:255,66,0:63 0/1:245,0,255:99," then the following expression is
"true":

"(GEN[0].GQ > 60) & (GEN[1].GQ > 90)"

An asterisk may be used to represent "ANY" variable index
 "(GEN[*].GQ > 60)"

937 "(GEN[*].G

939 Genotype having multiple fields

These are represented as arrays, so individual values can be
accessed using an index (sample number) followed by a variable
name and then another index. E.g., If the genotypes are "GT:PL:GQ
1/1:255,66,0:63 0/1:245,0,255:99," then the following expression is
valid:

"(GEN[0].PL[2] = 0)"

Also in this case, an asterisk may be used to represent "ANY"
variable index, e.g.,:

948 "(GEN[0].PL[*] = 0)"

And another asterisk may be used to represent "ANY" genotype index, e.g.,:

951	"(GEN[*].PL[*] = 0)	"
-----	-----------------------	---

Sets

are defined by the "-s" (or "-set") command line option. Each file971must have one string per line. They are named based on the order972used in the command line (e.g., the first one is "SET[0]," the second973one is "SET[1]," etc.) An example of the set expression (assuming974your command line was "-s set1.txt -s set2.txt -s set3.txt"):975"(ID in SET[2])"976

Effect fields

Effect fields created by SnpEff are accessed using an index (effect number) followed by a sub-field name. Available sub-field are:

- EFFECT: effect (e.g., SYNONYMOUS_CODING, NON_ 982 SYNONYMOUS_CODING, FRAME_SHIFT, etc.) 983
- IMPACT: [HIGH, MODERATE, LOW, MODIFIER]
- FUNCLASS: [NONE, SILENT, MISSENSE, NONSENSE]
- CODON: codon change (e.g., "ggT/ggG")
- AA: amino acid change (e.g., "G156")
- GENE: gene name (e.g., "PSD3")
- BIOTYPE: gene biotype, as described by the annotations (e.g., 989 "protein_coding") 990
- CODING: gene is [CODING, NON_CODING]
- TRID: transcript ID
- EXID: exon ID

Examples:

1-) The following expression is true if the first effect is NON_SYNONYMOUS:

- "(EFF[0].EFFECT = 'NON_SYNONYMOUS_CODING')" 998 2-) This expression is true if ANY effect is NON_SYNONYMOUS: 999
- "(EFF[*].EFFECT = 'NON_SYNONYMOUS_CODING')" 3-) This expression is true if ANY effect is NON_SYNONYMOUS on gene TCF7L2: "(EFF[*].EFFECT = 'NON_SYNONYMOUS_CODING') & (
 - EFF[*].GENE = 'TCF7L2')"

970

977

978

979

980

981

984

985

986

987

988

991

992

993

994

995

996